

Warsaw, 24rd of April 2023

Foundation of the Development of the Educational System

Al. Jerozolimskie 142a

02-305 Warsaw

www.frse.org.pl

e-mail: dzp@frse.org.pl

**To all the Contractors participating in the
request for proposal**

ANNOUNCEMENT ABOUT SELECTION OF THE MOST FAVOURABLE PROPOSALS IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

1. On behalf of the Foundation for the Development of the Educational System we thank you for your participation in the request for proposals DZP.262.48.2023 for **accreditation and monitoring of organisations from the Eastern Partnership countries (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Moldova, Ukraine), the Russian Federation and Norway as well as from other countries named by the European Commission applying for Quality Label as part of the European Solidarity Corps.**
2. **The proposals were evaluated according to the following Tender evaluation criteria:**

Criterion I (X1): experience in implementation of European Voluntary Service(EVS) or European Solidarity Corps projects as a project coordinator or a person supporting a project, or/and experience in implementation of training activities on the topic of volunteering projects within above mentioned programmes different than listed in pt. 4 d) of the request for proposal - 20%

- a) 10 points for implementation of 1 -3 additional volunteering projects
- b) 20 points for implementation of 4 additional volunteering projects or more.

Criterion II (X2): Experience in conducting accreditations and/or Youth in Action and/or monitoring in frames of Erasmus+ and/or ESC for National Agencies or Regional SALTO Resource Centres - 35%

- a) 25 point for conducting from 2 to 4 accreditations/ monitorings in frames of the above mentioned programmes
- b) 35 points for conducting 5 or more accreditations/ monitorings in frames of the above mentioned programmes.

Criterion III (X3): experience in implementation of volunteering projects in frames of programmes different than European Voluntary Service(EVS) or European Solidarity Corps – 15%. 15 points for implementation of min.2 projects in frames of these programmes.

Criterion IV (X4): experience in implementation of accreditations or assessment of applications for project grants in frames of educational and/or volunteering programmes different than European Voluntary

Service(EVS) or European Solidarity Corps – 15%.

- a) 10 points for implementation of 3-5 accreditations or assessments of project grants,
- b) 15 points for implementation of 6 or more accreditations or assessments of project grants.

Criterion V (X5): fluent command of one of the official languages of the Eastern Partnership countries, the Russian Federation or Norway (Azeri, Belarusian, Georgian, Norwegian, Armenian, Russian, Romanian, Ukrainian) min. B2 - 15%. 15 points for knowledge of min. 1 official language of the above mentioned countries.

3. The most favourable proposals were submitted by:

- 1) Alena Oblak
- 2) Anna Sławczewa
- 3) Anna Kovbasyuk
- 4) Artur Najaryan
- 5) Giorgi Kikalishvili
- 6) Inga Paichadze
- 7) Katarzyna Błasińska
- 8) Liene Metreveli
- 9) Magda Wagenknechtová Svobodová
- 10) Maja Selan
- 11) Monika Olszewska
- 12) Olga Khabibulina
- 13) Petre Mrkev
- 14) Ulkar Zaidzada

4. Summary list of the proposals:

No	Name of the Contractor	Requirements for Contractors	Number of points according to the proposal evaluation criteria
1	Permitir SE, Alena Oblak s.p., Grosuplje, Slovenia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 10 pkt. w kryterium IV 0 pkt. w kryterium V together: 80 pkt.
2	Alexandra Isaicul, Kiszyniów, Moldova	no	nd.
3	Anna Sławczewa, Wrocław, Poland	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III

			10 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 95 pkt.
4	Anna Kovbasyuk, Sumy, Ukraine	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 15 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 100 pkt.
5	Artur Najaryan, Gyumri, Armenia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 15 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 100 pkt.
6	Giorgi Kikalishvili, Tbilisi, Georgia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 10 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 95 pkt.
7	Inga Paichadze, Rustavi, Georgia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 0 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 85 pkt.
8	Bridging Cultures Katarzyna Błasińska, Gdańsk, Poland	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 0 pkt. w kryterium II 0 pkt. w kryterium III 15 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 50 pkt.
9	Liene Metreveli, Rustavi, Georgia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 0 pkt. w kryterium III 0 pkt. w kryterium IV

			15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 70 pkt.
10	Magda Wagenknechtová Svobodová, Praga, Czech Republic	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 0 pkt. w kryterium III 0 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 70 pkt.
11	Maja Selan, Ljubljana, Slovenia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 10 pkt. w kryterium IV 0 pkt. w kryterium V together: 80 pkt.
12	Maria Pastushik, Woroneż, Russia	no	nd.
13	Monika Olszewska, Poręba Wielka, Poland	yes	10 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 0 pkt. w kryterium III 0 pkt. w kryterium IV 0 pkt. w kryterium V together: 45 pkt.
14	Olga Khabibulina, Warszawa, Poland	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 10 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 95 pkt.
15	Petre Mrkev, Kawadarci, North Macedonia	yes	20 pkt. w kryterium I 35 pkt. w kryterium II 15 pkt. w kryterium III 10 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 95 pkt.
16	Ulkar Zaidzada, Sugmait, Azerbaijan	yes	10 pkt. w kryterium I 0 pkt. w kryterium II 0 pkt. w kryterium III



			0 pkt. w kryterium IV 15 pkt. w kryterium V together: 25 pkt.
--	--	--	--

Proposals Alexandry Isaicul and Marii Pastushik were submitted after the offers submission deadline.